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Abstract

Cognitive theories of obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) ascertain that catastrophic (mis)interpretations of normally occurring intrusive thoughts are causal to the onset and maintenance of OCD. However, we suggest that various research findings challenge basic premises of the cognitive theory. Furthermore, results of clinical trials investigating cognitive and behavioral therapies for OCD challenge the added value of cognitive interventions over and above behavior therapy consisting of exposure and response prevention (ERP) for OCD. It is maintained that there is a need to search for alternative theories to improve OCD understanding and treatment. Executive dysfunctions and particularly response inhibition deficits are suggested as a potential alternative research route.

Key words: OCD, executive functions, cognitive therapy

Declaration of interest: none

Gideon E. Anholt1, Eyal Kalanthroff1,2
Department of Psychology1 and Zlotowski Center for Neuroscience2, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel

Corresponding author
Dr. Gideon E. Anholt
Department of Psychology, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, P.O.B. 653, Beer Sheva, Israel 84105.
Phone: 972-8-6472044, Fax: 972-8-6472072,
E-mail: ganholt@bgu.ac.il

Do we need a cognitive theory for OCD? What has been achieved in 30 years of cognitive therapy OCD research?

The cognitive (appraisal) theory of obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) suggests that catastrophic interpretations of normally occurring intrusive thoughts constitute a major factor in the onset and maintenance of OCD (Salkovskis 1985, 1999; Rachman 1997). The rationale for the development of this theory has been the realization that behavior therapy of OCD (consisting of exposure and response prevention, ERP), though highly effective, suffers from serious shortcomings. These include high drop-out and treatment refusal rates as well as residual symptoms at the end of treatment (Clark 1999, Park et al. 1997). Several questionnaires have been constructed to measure OCD beliefs underlying this appraisal process, and most importantly the obsessive-compulsive questionnaire (OBQ; OCCWG 1997, 2003). Changing these beliefs and consequent catastrophic interpretations of intrusive thoughts has been the target of cognitive therapy for OCD (Salkovskis 1996, van Oppen and Arntz 1994).

Recently, we have reviewed evidence for the validity of the cognitive theory and efficacy of cognitive therapy for OCD (Anholt and Kalanthroff 2013). Concerning the cognitive theory we proposed that (1) the cognitive theory lacks specificity between OCD and healthy participants (Anholt et al. 2010). This is manifested in high OCD beliefs in many non-OCD participants (Anholt et al. 2010). Furthermore, about 50% of OCD patients do not exhibit elevated OCD beliefs, and no consistent symptom-belief patterns were detected (Anholt et al. 2010, Taylor et al. 2006), (3) ERP produces similar changes in OCD beliefs as cognitive therapy (Whittal et al. 2005), and (4) OCD beliefs seem to vary significantly between samples derived from various cultures, a finding that is not commensurable with the view of these beliefs as a general etiological factor for OCD (Anholt et al. 2010, OCCWG 2003). Concerning research investigating efficacy of cognitive therapy for OCD we suggested that (1) cognitive therapy seems to be slightly inferior to both ERP and the combination of interventions (Rosa-Alcázar et al. 2008), (2) cognitive therapy does not seem to help participants who are non-responders to ERP (van Balkom et al. 2012). The overall picture from these findings suggests that many cognitive theory assumptions remain unsupported and that there is little evidence that it has advanced our ability to improve treatment of OCD.

How do we proceed?

One option is to consider that measurement of cognitive constructs and cognitive interventions derived to alter them are too rational and nonexperiential. They may include excessive verbalization and logical
challenging of beliefs. Hypothetically, cognitive beliefs may be causal to OCD symptoms whereas behavioral methods facilitate change in beliefs and symptoms, possibly due to the more experiential nature of these interventions. One line of support for this notion can be found in the additive effects of imaginary exposure to in vivo exposure for OCD (Rosa-Alcázar et al. 2008). Such exposure is typically administered for catastrophic scenarios that are internal (e.g., burning in hell, being subjected to social condemnation as consequence of behaving in a sexually unacceptable manner). This finding suggests that taking into consideration more than environmental triggering stimuli is essential for OCD treatment. However, even in the case of specific phobia where a highly behavioral (often one session) treatment is clearly the most effective intervention, important attention is given to catastrophic beliefs in directing exposure to feared scenarios (Davis et al. 2012). Yet, construction of exposures to falsify catastrophic beliefs may have achieved in a largely behavioral attitude, without the excessive verbal dialogue characterizing cognitive therapy of OCD.

From beliefs to executive (dys)functions?

In recent years there seems to be an ever-growing interest in the role of executive dysfunctions in OCD (Pauls et al. 2014). One of the functions most investigated is response inhibition, or the ability to stop an action that is no longer necessary. Inhibition deficits have been further suggested as an endophenotype of OCD (Bannon et al. 2002, de Wit et al. 2012). We further suggested that such deficits may make OCD patients more prone to respond to intrusive thoughts by subtle movements, which may in turn make these thoughts seem more likely to happen and underlie catastrophic appraisals of these thoughts (Anholt et al. 2012). A subsequent elaboration of this theory entails viewing compulsive behaviors as reflecting a failure in resolution of task conflict. This notion is based on Gibson’s affordances theory, according to which, objects in the environment are not only perceived in terms of their external features but also its affordances – how they can be used (i.e., actions; Gibson 1979). OCD patients may have deficient ability to inhibit automatically triggered actions – resulting in the performance of task irrelevant reactions (e.g., repeatedly pressing a light switch while this action is not necessary; Kalanthroff et al. 2013, 2014). Such ideas are strengthened by the research of Gillan and colleagues, where OCD patients were found to have the tendency for overreliance on habits, showing the learned responses even when unnecessary and not more reinforced (Gillan et al. 2011). These findings have led to the suggestion that obsessions may be a posthoc rationalization of compulsive actions rather than causal to them (thus naming OCD compulsive-obcessive disorder, or COD; Robbins et al. 2012).

The idea that OCD symptoms are (at least partially) caused by executive dysfunctions is contested. Some researchers view these dysfunctions as a consequence of obsessive symptoms (or maybe) of obsessive thoughts that overload and wear out the cognitive system, rather than causal to OCD (Abramovitch et al. 2012). Furthermore, executive dysfunctions seem to be subtle and shared by many psychopathologies (Abramovitch et al. 2014). One possible explanation concerns a dual process. Subtle executive dysfunctions may constitute vulnerability factors. However, high emotional valence may exacerbate these subtler dysfunctions to produce clinically meaningful symptoms (enhanced through paradoxical effects of compulsive behaviors; van den Hout and Kindt 2003). It is likely that such triggering stimuli will be related to self-sensitive domains (Doron and Kyrios 2005). Consider, for example, the postnatal period – one of the sensitive times for OCD onset, where concerns about parenthood may interact with inhibition deficits to produce excessive checking.

In conclusion, we suggest that cognitive theory has not yet lived up to expectations in demonstrating validity and improving treatment efficacy for OCD. The study of executive dysfunctions and particularly inhibition deficits may form the basis for developing additional interventions and improving our understanding of OCD.
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